



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,254	09/14/2000	Richard W. Moyer	UF-221C1XC1	2442

23557 7590 02.27.2003

SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
2421 N.W. 41ST STREET
SUITE A-1
GAINESVILLE, FL 326066669

EXAMINER

WEHBE, ANNE MARIE SABRINA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1632

DATE MAILED: 02 27 2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/662,254	Applicant(s) Moyer
	Examiner Anne Marie Wehbé	Art Unit 1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anne Marie Wehbé

(3) _____

(2) Glenn Ladwig

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 12, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 86

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The scope of enablement of the specification was discussed in regards to claim 86. The examiner indicated that claim 86 which is limited to in vitro delivery of the recited entomopox vectors would be considered allowable if rewritten as an independant claim including all the limitations of the parent claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

ANNE MARIE WEHBÉ
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1632

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required